

SECRET

-2- POLTO 1294, JUNE 22, 7 P.M. FROM PARIS

BUT THAT THE SITUATION WAS STILL SO FLUID THAT IF REPORTS WERE TO BE MADE TO THE NAC THEY MIGHT VARY FROM DAY TO DAY. I SAID I HAD NO AUTHORITY AS YET TO REPORT ON THE FOUR POWER TALKS IN WASHINGTON BUT I THOUGHT THEY HAD NOT REACHED ANY CONCLUSIVE STAGE. I STRESSED THAT THE POLICY ON BERLIN WAS FIRM AND WELL KNOWN TO NAC AND THAT WE WERE MAINLY CONSIDERING TACTICS, AND RENEWED MY SUGGESTION THAT THE NAC MIGHT WISH TO INITIATE DISCUSSION IN WHICH MEMBERS MIGHT PUT FORTH SUGGESTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE FOUR POWERS BEFORE FIRM DECISIONS WERE REACHED.

THE UK AND FRENCH PERMREPS SUPPORTED MY STATEMENT, THE FRENCH INDICATING THAT THE WASHINGTON TALKS HAD DEALT ONLY WITH THE REPLY TO THE SOVIET AIDE-MEMOIRE AND THE UK NOTING THAT THIS WOULD SHORTLY BE PRESENTED FOR NAC CONSIDERATION.

THIS DID NOT SATISFY THE COUNCIL. WITH	AC
TAKING THE LEAD, THERE WAS STRONG PRESSURE	
THAT A PROMPT PROGRESS REPORT BE MADE ON THE WASHINGTON	
DISCUSSIONS. BUT GOING BEYOND THAT. IT WAS POINTED OUT	
THAT THE NEWS ARTICLES UNDOUBTEDLY HAD SOME FOUNDATION	
AND WOULD HAVE IMPORTANT EFFECTS ON PUBLIC OPINION SINCE	
THEY WERE IN SERIOUS PAPERS AND PUT FORTH "EXPLOSIVE"	
IDEAS EMPHASIZING MILITARY ASPECTS AND USE OF FORCE	BI
IN THIS CONNECTION, ALSO REFERRED TO PRESS STORIES	$\mathcal{D}I$
ON U.S. GIVING UP FOUR BASES IN BRITAIN AS HAVING RELATED	
IMPACT ON PUBLIC OPINION.	
	A 1
SUGGESTED REPRESENTATIVE OF WORKING GROUP	BI
ON BERLIN MIGHT MEET WITH NAC PERIODICALLY AS HAD BEEN	
PRACTICE IN EARLIER YEARS,	
	. 01
AFTER EXPRESSED DOUBTS ON PROPAGANDA	101
ON BERLIN MIGHT MEET WITH NAC PERIODICALLY AS HAD BEEN PRACTICE IN EARLIER YEARS. AFTER EXPRESSED DOUBTS ON PROPAGANDA / THEME IN	

SECRET

-3- POLTO 1294, JUNE 22, 7 P.M. FROM PARIS

THEME IN FOUR POWER PAPER STRESSING WESTERN RIGHTS IN BERLIN, CONCLUDED DISCUSSION BY SAYING HE FELT NEWS ARTICLES BY SUCH RESPONSIBLE JOURNALISTS MUST HAVE SOME FOUNDATION AND THAT HE THOUGHT IT ESSENTIAL THAT THERE BE DISCUSSION OF POLICY IN NAC BEFORE IT APPEARS IN PRESS. NAC WILL PROBABLY RESUME DISCUSSION AT CONCLUSION SPECIAL MEETING TOMORROW ON NATO STRATEGY.

COMMENT: I THINK IT EXTREMELY IMPORTANT THAT WE BE ABLE GIVE NAC A PROMPPPROGRESS REPORT ON THE RECENT FOUR POWER DISCUSSIONS IN WASHINGTON. COULD YOU PROVIDE ME WITH ANY-THING FOR TOMORROW?

IN ADDITION, I SUBMIT THAT IT IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT THAT A COMMON LINE BE WORKED OUT IN THE COUNCIL PROMPTLY ON SUCH THINGS AS THESE PRESS STORIES. NOTHING IS GOING TO BE MORE HARMFUL TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMON STRONG ALLIANCE-WIDE PUBLIC OPINION ON THE BERLIN ISSUE THAN FALSE STARTS AND DOUBTS ON SUCH THINGS AS THESE STORIES ON MOBILIZATION. THIS WILL REQUIRE MORE THAN A MERE DENIAL THAT THERE IS FOUR POWER AGREEMENT ON THESE MATTERS. IT REALLY CALLS FOR AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON THE SUBSTANCE THEREOF IN THE FULL NAC PROMPTLY. SUCH A DISCUSSION COULD PROVIDE A GOOD INDICATION OF THE SORT OF PROBLEMS WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH HERE, AND MAY SUGGEST THE WISEST WAY OF DEALING WITH THEM.

FINLETTER

JH

* serial number correction to follow.

Note: Mr. Tobin (RA) informed 10:25 p.m. 6-22-61 FMH

SECRET

_'